	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L		
1		•		Backgrou	ınd Statisti	cs for Data	Sets with	Non-Dete	cts					
2	U	User Selected Options												
3	Date/T	ime of Cor	mputation	7/30/2013	3 1:14:58 P	М								
4			From File	WorkSheet.xls										
5		Full	Precision	OFF										
6	Coi	nfidence C	oefficient	95%										
7		(Coverage	95%										
8	rent or Fut	ure K Obs	ervations	1										
9	mber of Bo	nber of Bootstrap Operations 2000												
10														
11	PAHs													
12														
13						,	Statistics							
14		Total Number of Observations 71 Number of Missing Observations										0		
15			Number of I				Nicoshau of Nicoshau did							
16					of Detects		Number of Non-Detects 11 Number of Distinct Non-Detects 8							
17			Numb		nct Detects			Number of Distinct Non-Detects						
18					num Detect			Minimum Non-Detect						
19					num Detect					Maximum N		10 15.49%		
20					e Detected			Percent Non-Detects						
21			M		n Detected				OD -4 F		Detected	83.96		
22			Mean of D	etected Lo	ogged Data	4.165			SD of L	Detected Log	gged Data	0.869		
23				Oulding	I Valuas fa	. Daaleena.	ad Thuash	ald Value	- (DT\/-)					
24			Tolorono		Values for (For UTL)		na inresn	old value:	s (BTVS)	dOmov	(for USL)	3.089		
25			Tolerand	e racioi N	(FOLUTE)	1.903				uziilax	. (IOI USL)	3.069		
26					Norma	I COE Toe	t on Dotor	te Only						
27		Normal GOF Test on Detects Only Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic 0.742 Normal GOF Test on Detected Observations C									Only			
28							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
29									IIIOO ECVOI					
30		5% Lilliefors Critical Value 0.114 Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level												
32			0,0 2		Data Not I	-	% Signific			o 70 Olgilliloc	21100 20101			
33														
34			Kapl	an Meier ((KM) Back	round Sta	tistics Ass	uming No	rmal Distrib	oution				
35			•		Mean						SD	82.98		
36		95%			Coverage	241.2				95% K	(M UPL (t)	215.9		
37		90% KM Perce				183			9	5% KM Per	centile (z)	213.1		
38	99% KM Percentile (z				269.7				95%	% KM USL	333			
39	```													
40			DL/	2 Substitu	tion Backg	round Stat	istics Assu	ıming Nor	mal Distrib	ution				
41					Mean	76.69					SD	83.53		
42			95%	6 UTL95%	Coverage	242.3					5% UPL (t)	216.9		
43					rcentile (z)					95% Per	rcentile (z)	214.1		
44					ercentile (z)						95% USL	334.7		
45		DL	/2 is not a r	ecommen	ded metho	d. DL/2 pro	ovided for	compariso	ons and his	torical reas	ons			
46						· · · · ·								
47					ma GOF 1		etected Ob		-	-lin - 005 5	F4			
48					est Statistic		iotosts -l . l			rling GOF 1		000001-		
49					itical Value					istributed at		carice Lev		
50					est Statistic itical Value					Smirnoff GO		canco I cu		
51					ta appear		1			istributed at	. J /o Signifi	cance Lev		
52			De	vected ng	appear	Gamma Di	อนามนเ ซน ชั	0 /0 OIGH	carice Lt	, , , ,				
53					Gamma S	statistics or	Detected	Data Only	v					
54				k	hat (MLE)				-	(bias corre	cted MI FI	1.551		
55 56		Theta hat (MLE					k star (bias corrected MLE) Theta star (bias corrected MLE)			-	58.28			
56 57					hat (MLE)							186.1		
58			MLE N		corrected)	90.37				(3100				
59					corrected)	72.58		9	95% Percer	ntile of Chis	quare (2k)	7.989		
60	1			, , , , , ,		1	I .		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		()			
61				Gam	nma ROS S	Statistics u	sing Imput	ed Non-D	etects					
62		GROS	S may not b							ns at multip	le DLs			
UZ	ı		,					,		-				

	Α	В	С	_)	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
63									data is sm				
64													
65	For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates Minimum 0.01 Mean 76.37												
66						464.5	Median Median					59	
67	Maximum SD					83.82	Median CV					1.097	
68	k hat (MLE)					0.426		k star (bias corrected MLE)				0.417	
69 70	K nat (MLE) Theta hat (MLE)					179.3		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)					
71	nu hat (MLE)					60.48		nu star (bias corrected)					
72	nu nat (MLE) MLE Mean (bias corrected)					, ,	76.37	MLE Sd (bias corrected)					59.25 118.2
73		9	5% Perce	entile of	Chis	quare (2k)	3.417				90%	Percentile	214.1
74					95%	Percentile	312.7	99% Percentile					559.6
75	The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods												
76			Uppe	er Limit	s usin			H) and Ha	wkins Wixl	ey (HW) M	lethods		
77						WH	HW					WH	HW
78	Approx. G	amma UT			_	361.4	475.2		95% /	Approx. Ga	mma UPL	284.4	353.4
79			95% G	amma	USL	756.8	1196						
80			The felle	owing s	toticti	ios oro oor	nnutod usi	ina aomma	dictributio	n and KM	actimates		
81	The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods												
82 83			Орро			k hat (KM)	0.853		Withing Wilking	o, (1111) II		u hat (KM)	121.1
84						WH	HW					WH	HW
85	Approx. G	amma UT	L with 959	% Cove	erage	304	344.2		95% /	Approx. Ga	amma UPL	245.5	268.8
86			95% G	amma	USL	594.4	757.9						
87								l .					
88				L	ogno	rmal GOF	Test on D	etected O	bservation	s Only			
89						st Statistic	0.0869				GOF Test		
90			5%			tical Value	0.114				rmal at 5%	Significand	ce Level
91				Det	tected	Data app	ear Logno	rmal at 5%	Significar	ice Level			
92		Dookses			000	Ctatiatias (\	Lamamad	Dietributie	n Haina In	anisted Non	Detecto	
93		васкдго					Assuming 1	Lognormai	Distributio	on Using in	nputed Nor	Log Scale	3.879
94	Mean in Original Scale SD in Original Scale					82.42					Log Scale	1.045	
95 96	95% UTL95% Coverage					384.2			95% BC	A UTL95%	ŭ	346.1	
97	95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage					375.3					5% UPL (t)	279.6	
98	90% Percentile (z)					184.6					centile (z)	269.9	
99				999	% Per	rcentile (z)	550.4					95% USL	1222
100													
101				_				Data and A	_	-	Distribution		
102	KM Mean of Logged Data				3.593		95% KM		ormal)95%		796.6		
103					1.557				KM UPL (L		496.2		
104							470.8			95%	KM USL (L	ognormal)	4461
105				Back	/arou	nd DI /2 St	atistics As	eumina La	anormal D	ietribution			
106						inal Scale	76.69	Summy LC	gnormal D	าอนามนนเปไ	Mean in	Log Scale	3.6
107 108					-	ginal Scale	83.53	Mean in Log Scale SD in Log Scale					1.567
109			95		_	Coverage	818.8					5% UPL (t)	508.4
110						rcentile (z)	272.9					centile (z)	482.2
111						rcentile (z)	1403					95% USL	4638
112		DL/2	2 is not a	Recom	meno	ded Metho	d. DL/2 pro	ovided for	compariso	ns and his	torical reas	ons.	
113													
114									ground St				
115			Dat	ta appe	ear to	follow a D	iscernible	Distribution	n at 5% Sig	gnificance	Level		
116		.		L I I	ar!!	mite for DT	Mala a di i		da b	m aleka : * :		o ata\	
117		Non	parametri			Statistic, r	Vs(no dist	inction ma	ue petwee		and nondet L with95%		406
118				Oit			1.842	Co	nfidence C		CC) achiev	-	0.876
119	Approximate f 95% UPL					233.4	Col	muence of	oemoleni (oo, acmev	95% USL	464.5	
120 121			959	% KM (shev UPL	440.9					30.0 002	.51.0
122					,	<u>_</u>		<u> </u>					
123		Note: The	use of US	SL to es	stimat	te a BTV is	recomme	nded only v	when the da	ata set rep	resents a b	ackground	
124								-			acted locat	-	

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
125	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
126	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
127												